

---

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Michael C - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

You only need to look at the sales figures to see that. I notice you posted no link to such a statistical historical record. Nice job making the claim, however. Bwuahahahah! So are you disagreeing that VHS sold better than LD...?

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by MassiveProng@thebaratthee ndoftheuniverse.org - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Well no. Also for permanent backup, 1 BR disc is going to be a lot cheaper than Flash RAM or a portable HDD. Or to transfer something to permanent storage to free up space on local HDDs. However a hard drive will be three orders of magnitude more reliable, and will have a much faster access/feed rate.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by MassiveProng@thebaratthee ndoftheuniverse.org - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

only way to get a datagram of that size onto a storage medium, so that 12 disc form factor was all that was available for that size datagram, you stupid fuck. It was also easily mass producible. Do the fucking math, idiot. as resolution goes up so too does the stream rate required to deliver it and the total size of the stored datagram. It comes down to bytes per frame. The only other medium at the time that could store that much was hard drives. You need a fucking brain if you think that would have been economical. Now that we can store huge amounts of data on smaller and smaller devices, idiots like you think we have had that capacity all along. Such is not the case. You are clueless. I know all this but I don't see what it has to do with proving whether it was the superior product or not. You're an idiot.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by MassiveProng@thebaratthee ndoftheuniverse.org - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

You only need to look at the sales figures to see that. I notice you posted no link to such a statistical historical record. Nice job making the claim, however. Bwuahahahah! So are you disagreeing that VHS sold better than LD...? You're an idiot. You are one of those abso-fucking-lutely retarded fucks that cannot examine statistical figures correctly.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Morgan - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Well no. Also for permanent backup, 1 BR disc is going to be a lot cheaper than Flash RAM or a portable HDD. Or to transfer something to permanent storage to free up space on local HDDs. However a hard drive will be three orders of magnitude more reliable, and will have a much faster access/feed rate. More reliable? assuming the disc burns okay, optical storage is far more reliable than a HDD.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Morgan - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Tom <jimver...@hotmail.com wrote in message news:ef421293-8a52-484d-b522-e8918be6cf47@a70g2000hsh.googlegroups.com <Snip Here's my argument in a nutshell. BR is currently too expensive for BRD-R to be practical. However this will change in the future when the price comes down. Portable HDD/SS RAM will still be a better choice in a lot of situation but by no means all situations. Just as DVD-Rs aren't always the best option today. They both have different practical applications. A BRD to HDD isn't a good comparison for this reason, I'm not saying that it's a better option than HDD/SS, I'm saying it's the logical successor to DVD-R. Also in relation to your CD to DVD migration, you were talking about music commercially produced CDs. IIRC Writable

---

CDs weren't really around until about the mid 90s (Thought Wikipedia says the original technology was developed in 88) about six years before writable DVDs hit the market.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Michael C - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

On balance, VHS was the superior product. You could be more full of shit, just not in this life. So pray tell, what was the reason for VHS being more popular then? Was it a conspiracy or was it because the pros of VHS outweighed all the pros of LD...?

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Michael C - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

So are you disagreeing that VHS sold better than LD...? You're an idiot. You are one of those abso-fucking-lutely retarded fucks that cannot examine statistical figures correctly. What statistical figures are you referring to? I ask again - are you disagreeing that VHS sold better than LD...? PS - why all the anger? Did your boyfriend refuse to give you a reach-around when he was bumming you last night?

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Captain Jack Harkness - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

So are you disagreeing that VHS sold better than LD...? You're an idiot. You are one of those abso-fucking-lutely retarded fucks that cannot examine statistical figures correctly. What statistical figures are you referring to? I ask again - are you disagreeing that VHS sold better than LD...? PS - why all the anger? Did your boyfriend refuse to give you a reach-around when he was bumming you last night? Pay no attention to needledik. This stupid asshole perkoff throws its immature spamtrums because it's still aching from being so MassivelyWrong about its favorite format, HD DVD.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Tom - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Also in relation to your CD to DVD migration, you were talking about music commercially produced CDs. IIRC Writable CDs weren't really around until about the mid 90s (Thought Wikipedia says the original technology was developed in 88) about six years before writable DVDs hit the market. I wasn't saying that CDs, DVDs were burnable at their given inceptions, just giving a timeline to how things progressed in the digital world. Looking at that timeline, it seems BR will be outdone in 5+ years by something else.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Depression - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

David <wib...@wobble.com wrote in message Heck and I'm on of them. Me too. I've got two games for my PS3 (Might get GTA4 as well) both came with it. I got the PS3 because it was a cost effective easily updatable BR player. Anyone who thinks that BR won't eventually catch on frankly moronic. HD is the future of video media and computers are crying of for a storage medium to replace DVD. There were naysayers when DVD came out. There always will be. BR wont take off and i dont care being labeled moronic. Care to explain you reasoning then? Mine is that HD TVs are now the norm when buying a new screen so people are goign to want to take advantage of them. BR gives a noticeable increase in image quality and is well supported by the industry with practically every DVD release of new film also having a BR release. The price difference is too big between BR and DVD for BR to be a contender, and with double digit broadband rolling out across the country and standard in quite a lot of countries already BR is as

dead as HD-DVD.

---

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Depression - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Morgan <Nos...@nospam.com wrote in message David <wib...@wobble.com wrote in message Heck and I'm on of them. Me too. I've got two games for my PS3 (Might get GTA4 as well) both came with it. I got the PS3 because it was a cost effective easily updatable BR player. Anyone who thinks that BR won't eventually catch on frankly moronic. HD is the future of video media and computers are crying of for a storage medium to replace DVD. There were naysayers when DVD came out. There always will be. Beta Ray won't catch on and the reasons are very simple. VHS to DVD = big difference DVD to HD-DVD or Blu Ray = not much difference + more more cost and hardware. I think your wrong there. Someone in here (can't remember who) posted saying that there is a bigger jump in screen rez between DVD and Blu-Ray, than there was between VHS and DVD. Well VHS and DVD in the UK are both PAL formats.

---

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by RCE Defiant - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

David <wib...@wobble.com wrote in message Heck and I'm on of them. Me too. I've got two games for my PS3 (Might get GTA4 as well) both came with it. I got the PS3 because it was a cost effective easily updatable BR player. Anyone who thinks that BR won't eventually catch on frankly moronic. HD is the future of video media and computers are crying of for a storage medium to replace DVD. There were naysayers when DVD came out. There always will be. BR wont take off and i dont care being labeled moronic. Care to explain you reasoning then? Mine is that HD TVs are now the norm when buying a new screen so people are goign to want to take advantage of them. BR gives a noticeable increase in image quality and is well supported by the industry with practically every DVD release of new film also having a BR release. The price difference is too big between BR and DVD for BR to be a contender, and with double digit broadband rolling out across the country and standard in quite a lot of countries already BR is as dead as HD-DVD. The price diff between BR and DVD is a joke. If that doesn't get sorted out there's no way the average joe will go for BR. It will simply be another Laserdisc has been.

---

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Depression - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Morgan <Nos...@nospam.com wrote in message David <wib...@wobble.com wrote in message Heck and I'm on of them. Me too. I've got two games for my PS3 (Might get GTA4 as well) both came with it. I got the PS3 because it was a cost effective easily updatable BR player. Anyone who thinks that BR won't eventually catch on frankly moronic. HD is the future of video media and computers are crying of for a storage medium to replace DVD. There were naysayers when DVD came out. There always will be. Beta Ray won't catch on and the reasons are very simple. VHS to DVD = big difference DVD to HD-DVD or Blu Ray = not much difference + more more cost and hardware. I think your wrong there. Someone in here (can't remember who) posted saying that there is a bigger jump in screen rez between DVD and Blu-Ray, than there was between VHS and DVD. The big push with DVD was as much about convenience as resolution, hence I don't think there will be as much impetus as quickly behind BR as there was with DVD. DVD will be around a lot longer after BR emerges than VHS was around after DVD Yeah very true. Oh another note, Don't some studios still use the old betamax? Not quite, there was a professional version of Betamax called Betacam, although the tapes were initially the same the recording formats were totally different. It has all but died out now thanks to high quality digital recording and online editing. (I'm not going to say it's dead because there is probably still someone who picked up the old equipment when it was dumped.)

---

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Depression - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

But what if you want to back up more? If I back up my system I want over 80GB if I included my music. As broadband

---

speed increase downloaded able media is going to become more common place. people are going to want to back this stuff up, the amount of space needed for the backup is only going to increase. Then get an additional hard drive, much faster, just as reliable much cheaper than burning everything off to a DVD/BR. You could easily get a couple of 100Gb external hard drives for the cost of a BR burner and back up to them in rotation. As it would take only a fraction of the time and no additional cost you would do it more often as well.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Chris F - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

It was nowhere as popular as VHS, You retarded fuck. The popularity of VHS was due to the record capability. That is also what held back the adoption of the Laser Disc medium. If you were any more clueless, I'd say you were a modern day gang boy retard. There was far more to it than just that. However, I don't see what you just said has to do with my point about VHS being more popular. It wasn't more popular among folks that actually had movie title up on their shelves, idiot boy. It was more popular among dopes that rented, and recorded COPIES of movie titles, like those I had on my shelf, that were 100% paid for. lol you really are hilarious.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Morgan - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Also in relation to your CD to DVD migration, you were talking about music commercially produced CDs. IIRC Writable CDs weren't really around until about the mid 90s (Thought Wikipedia says the original technology was developed in 88) about six years before writable DVDs hit the market. I wasn't saying that CDs, DVDs were burnable at their given inceptions, just giving a timeline to how things progressed in the digital world. Looking at that timeline, it seems BR will be outdone in 5+ years by something else. But that is my point, and that is why I corrected you. A CD and a CD-R are two different media with different applications. IIRC the first CD-ROMs stated to be used around 1993, CD-Rs came into use a few years later but weren't really affordable until about 97. CD-R had been superseded within 5-6 years if/what BRD-R takes off DVD-R will have been superseded in a similar time frame. So I do see why anyone would think that this would be a problem for BRD-R. In terms of BR Movies, I agree that DVD from tape represented a far greater jump as far as practicality and durability however once prices drop and HDTV becomes even more commonplace people are going to get used to a HD picture and are going to want the same in their films. It's not like we're only getting the odd new BR release. Practically every new film gets a BR and DVD release and older films are gradually starting to get released. The format has industry support, it's here to stay and I'd be very surprised if the writable form doesn't get a foothold as the next WORM technology.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Tom - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Well no. Also for permanent backup, 1 BR disc is going to be a lot cheaper than Flash RAM or a portable HDD. Or to transfer something to permanent storage to free up space on local HDDs. However a hard drive will be three orders of magnitude more reliable, and will have a much faster access/feed rate. More reliable? assuming the disc burns okay, optical storage is far more reliable than a HDD. How is it more reliable, do you have stats where optical drives and their disks are more reliable?? A HDD can hook up to any PC, an BR cannot, unless said PC has it installed. Do you realize that (more than likely) half the PCs in the world cannot handle BR and it hardware because the MOBOs won't accept the firmware update, that just one issue, I can name more. You're going to have to face it, BR is not the 'be all, end all' solution to a large data storage medium, no matter how much you favor it.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Tom - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

In terms of BR Movies, I agree that DVD from tape represented a far greater jump as far as practicality and durability however once prices drop and HDTV becomes even more commonplace people are going to get used to a HD picture and are going to want the same in their films. It's not like we're only getting the odd new BR release. Practically

---

every new film gets a BR and DVD release and older films are gradually starting to get released. The format has industry support, it's here to stay and I'd be very surprised if the writable form doesn't get a foothold as the next WORM technology. I don't know when the BR writeable will come out, considering that even DL-DVD RWs cannot be bought still. I am just saying that by going by history of advancement, BR won't last as long as DVD has, as DVD hasn't lasted as long as CDs have, as long as CDs haven't lasted as long as LPs (vinyl or durable plastic), etc.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Morgan - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

I don't know when the BR writeable will come out, considering that even DL-DVD RWs cannot be bought still. They are available and cost about 60p per disc. [http://svp.co.uk/product/datawrite\\_full\\_face\\_inkjet\\_printable\\_8x\\_doub...](http://svp.co.uk/product/datawrite_full_face_inkjet_printable_8x_doub...)

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Morgan - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

A HDD can hook up to any PC, an BR cannot, unless said PC has it installed. Do you realize that (more than likely) half the PCs in the world cannot handle BR and its hardware because the MOBOs won't accept the firmware update, that just one issue, I can name more. You're going to have to face it, BR is not the 'be all, end all' solution to a large data storage medium, no matter how much you favor it. At no point have I suggested that BR will be the be all and end all solution. I'm simply saying that it would have some very useful applications and is the next logical step for optical storage. I don't see why this concept seems to bother you so much.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Winfield - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Why BR as a writeable data storage won't catch on. Blu-Ray writeable storage will be a niche player, at best. I believe the movie folk have seen how DVD writables devalued their glitzy, over-priced product. I don't see them making the same mistake twice. Blu-Ray writeable storage will impact the market just like S-VHS tapes/recorders stormed the marketplace. The Prime Minister's 50-gigabyte speeches have no place on the working man's shelf. Off to the pub then, Morgan. =) winf

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by Tom - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

I don't know when the BR writeable will come out, considering that even DL-DVD RWs cannot be bought still. They are available and cost about 60p per disc. [http://svp.co.uk/product/datawrite\\_full\\_face\\_inkjet\\_printable\\_8x\\_doub...](http://svp.co.uk/product/datawrite_full_face_inkjet_printable_8x_doub...) Those are not RW, they are R (meaning simply recordable). You can only burn to those once and that is it. It needs the RW tag to have the rewriteable ability.

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by MassiveProng@thebaratthee ndoftheuniverse.org - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Well no. Also for permanent backup, 1 BR disc is going to be a lot cheaper than Flash RAM or a portable HDD. Or to transfer something to permanent storage to free up space on local HDDs. However a hard drive will be three orders of magnitude more reliable, and will have a much faster access/feed rate. More reliable? assuming the disc burns okay, optical storage is far more reliable than a HDD. You're an idiot. I have hard drives, even from the early days, that still have all their data on them. There is no way in hell that an optical disc that old would still have its data intact. If it were reliable, it would be used as an archival medium by the military, and that is not the case. Idiots like you have no clue as to why though.

---

=====

## vinyl storage tote Blu-ray Numbers Too Low To Release

Posted by MassiveProng@thebaratthee ndoftheuniverse.org - 2008/05/07 20:32

---

Sorry, but you are totally and utterly incorrect here. LDs were mostly the same size as vinyls - 12 inches in diameter and you certainly couldn't fit one inside a school bag very easily to swap with someone. Sorry dumbfuck, but we traded or swapped vinyl albums at will as well. Only little retarded dopes like you piss and moan that something is too big or cumbersome. You likely did not even collect them, yet you want to expound to all here about them. Fact is, you do not know anything about them. All you spew is nothing more than the petty, retarded observations of some retarded twit that never played the game.

=====